

OCT 13 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Byoung-Sun Na et al.
Title: Liquid Crystal Display And Substrate Thereof
Application No.: 09/852,717 Filing Date: May 11, 2001
Examiner: Fazli ERDEM Group Art Unit: 2875
Docket No.: AB-1760 US Confirmation No. 5198

Irvine, California
October 13, 2006

Via Facsimile to (571) 273-8300

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following documents are being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

1) REPLY TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dated: 10-13-, 2006

Saundra L Carr
Saundra L. Carr

Number of pages (including this sheet): 3

MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP
2033 Gateway Place, Ste. 400
San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (949) 752-7040
Fax: (408) 392-9262 and (949) 752-7049

LAW OFFICES OF
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN
& HEID LLP
1763 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE
SUITE 226
SAN JOSE, CA 95110
(408) 392-9262
FAX (408) 392-9262

Fax to USPTO

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

App. No.: 09/852,717
 Applicants: Byoung-Sun Na, et al.
 Filed: 05/11/2001
 Docket. No.: AB-1760 US

Conf. No.: 5193
 Examiner: Fazli Erdem
 Art Unit: 2875
 Ref. No.: OPP990852US

OCT 13 2006

Via Facsimile to (571) 273-8300

Mail Stop: Amendment
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Irvine, CA
 October 13, 2006

REPLY TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In Reply to the Election/Restriction Requirement of 09/13/2006, the Applicant provisionally elects Group I, Claims 1-6 and 11-31 for prosecution, with traverse, for the reasons stated in the following Remarks.

REMARKS

In the Election/Restriction Requirement of 09/13/06, the Examiner restricted this application to one of two purportedly "distinct" inventions under 35 U.S.C. 121, and required an election between them for prosecution. This Election/Restriction Requirement is respectfully traversed, for the following reasons.

35 U.S.C. 121 provides that, "If two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Director may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." (Emphasis added.)

However, the "Director" has interpreted the plain meaning of the above language to mean "two or more independent or distinct inventions." (MPEP 803.01, emphasis added.)

In the 09/13/06 Election/Restriction Requirement, the Examiner concedes that the inventions claimed in the present application are "related," (*i.e.*, not independent), as "process of making and product made," but contends they are distinct because, "In the instant case in [dependent] claim 34, [the] black matrix and the protrusion could be formed in two separate photolithography